

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,135	02/06/2001	James F. Fordemwalt	10002364-1	1457
7590 02/27/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			KANG, INSUN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2124	7
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	4 <i>D</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	Application No.	A multiparature			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique O comme	09/779,135	FORDEMWALT ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Insun Kang	2124			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	ebruary 2001.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 2/6/2001 is/are: a)☒ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/779,135 Page 2

Art Unit: 2124

...

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 2/6/2001.

2. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,681,392 to Henry et al. hereinafter referred to as "Henry.".

Per claim 8:

Henry teaches:

- providing at least one peripheral installed on a remote computer ("peripheral devices... used on the network," col 1 lines 35-41)
- a device driver being for said at least one peripheral, and said associated peripheral software being for said at least one peripheral ("driver software and other control software required by the peripheral devices," col 1 lines 35-41; see also col 2 lines 6-8 and 49-53)

Art Unit: 2124

reading a description file associated with said at least one peripheral ("a script... containing a list of commands...[and] instructions to automatically install software with specific selections," col 4 lines 56-67; see also col 6 lines 23-34) in response to an initialization of said device driver during an installation of said device driver on said client computer ("Standard operating system function calls are used to access the information needed from the remote system... to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58)

Page 3

installing said peripheral software on said client computer in response to an installation procedure of said peripheral software included in said description file ("The install program sets values in the remote registry signaling to the driver that the install is a remote install and that any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed; col 5 lines 48-58; "determining which software is to be part of the installation and which options are to be applied... by executing a script file," col 6 lines 21-34)

as claimed.

Per claim 9:

The rejection of claim 8 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches:

- said initialization comprises an operating system call for said device driver to

Art Unit: 2124

initialize itself ("Standard operating system function calls are used to access the information needed from the remote system... to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58) as claimed.

Per claim 10:

The rejection of claim 9 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches: modifying said device driver to read said description file ("For a remote install...any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed," col 5 lines 48-58; see also col 5 lines 1-18; col 6 lines 23-34) as claimed.

Per claim 11:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches that said at least one peripheral is a printer ("printer driver," col 4 line 49) as claimed.

Per claim 1:

Henry teaches:

- invoking a device driver for a peripheral administrated by a remote computer over a network ("Standard operating system function calls are used to access the information needed from the remote system...to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58)

Application/Control Number: 09/779,135 Page 5

Art Unit: 2124

installing said associated peripheral software of said peripheral in response to an initialization of said device driver by an operating system of said client computer ("The install program sets values in the remote registry signaling to the driver that the install is a remote install and that any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed; col 5 lines 48-58; "determining which software is to be part of the installation and which options are to be applied... by executing a script file," col 6 lines 21-34)

as claimed.

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches:

- reading an associated description file of said peripheral in response to said initialization of said device driver ("a script...containing a list of commands...[and] instructions to automatically install software with specific selections," col 4 lines 56-67; see also col 6 lines 23-34; see also col 5 lines 44-58)
- said associated description file including a name of said associated peripheral software and an installation procedure ("a script is a list of instructions to automatically install software with specific selections," col 4 lines 56-67; see also col 6 lines 21-34)
- installing said associated peripheral software according to said installation
 procedure on said client computer ("The install program sets values in the remote
 registry signaling to the driver that the install is a remote install and that any
 initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed; col 5 lines 48-58;

Art Unit: 2124

"determining which software is to be part of the installation and which options are to be applied...by executing a script file," col 6 lines 21-34)

as claimed.

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches: said initialization comprises an operating system call for said device driver to initialize itself ("Standard operating system function calls are used to access the information needed from the remote system... to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58) as claimed.

Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 2 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches: modifying said device driver to read said associated description file ("For a remote install... any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed," col 5 lines 48-58; see also col 5 lines 1-18; col 6 lines 23-34) as claimed.

Per claim 5:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches that said peripheral is a printer ("printer driver," col 4 line 49) as claimed.

Art Unit: 2124

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches initiating installation of said peripheral by selecting a UNC path name configured to represent said peripheral ("a universal naming convention (UNC) is used," col 5 lines 19-40) as claimed.

Per claim 13:

Henry teaches: a memory coupled to said at least one processor of said client computer; at least one peripheral with said device driver and said associated peripheral software installed on a remote computer, wherein said device driver and said associated peripheral software is retrieved from said remote computer and stored in said memory ("remotely installing peripheral software such as drivers and utilities on a system over a network," col 2 lines 5-15), said device driver being configured to be executed by said at least one processor ("Standard operating system function calls are used to access the information needed from the remote system... to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58) as claimed.

Per claim 14:

The rejection of claim 13 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches:

- said at least one processor is further configured to read a description
file in response to an initialization of said device driver during an installation of said
device driver on said client computer ("Standard operating system function calls are

Page 8

Art Unit: 2124

used to access the information needed from the remote system... to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58; "The install program sets values in the remote registry signaling to the driver that the install is a remote install and that any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed; col 5 lines 48-58; "determining which software is to be part of the installation and which options are to be applied... by executing a script file," col 6 lines 21-34)

as claimed.

Per claim 15:

The rejection of claim 14 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches that said initialization is configured to invoke an initialization entry point configured to point to said description file ("Standard operating system function calls are used to access the information needed from the remote system... to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58; "For a remote install... any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed," col 5 lines 48-58; see also col 5 lines 1-18; col 6 lines 23-34; "The install program sets values in the remote registry signaling to the driver that the install is a remote install and that any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed; col 5 lines 48-58; "determining which software is to be part of the installation and which options are to be applied... by executing a script file," col 6 lines 21-34) as claimed.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2124

Per claim 16:

The rejection of claim 14 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches that said at least one processor is further configured to install said associated peripheral software according to an installation procedure included in said description file ("The install program sets values in the remote registry signaling to the driver that the install is a remote install and that any initialization requiring user intervention must be suppressed; col 5 lines 48-58; "determining which software is to be part of the installation and which options are to be applied...by executing a script file," col 6 lines 21-34) as claimed.

Per claim 17:

The rejection of claim 16 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches that said initialization comprises an operating system call for said device driver to initialize itself ("Standard operating system function calls are used to access the information needed from the remote system... to communicate to the driver being initialized on the remote system, that the install is a remote install," col 5 lines 44-58) as claimed.

Per claim 18:

The rejection of claim 17 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches that said peripheral is a printer ("printer driver," col 4 line 49) as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,135 Page 10

Art Unit: 2124

Per claim 20:

The rejection of claim 17 is incorporated, and further, Henry teaches said at least one processor is further configured to install said device driver and said associated peripheral software in response to a selection of a UNC path name representing said at least one peripheral ("a universal naming convention (UNC) is used," col 5 lines 19-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,681,392 to Henry et al. hereinafter referred to as "Henry" in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter referred to as "APA") disclosed in the instant application.

Per claims 6:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Henry does not explicitly teach initiating installation of the peripheral by activating an icon representing the peripheral as claimed. APA discloses that it was known in the art of software distribution and installation, at the time applicant's invention was made, to install a peripheral device

Page 11

Art Unit: 2124

remotely such as those disclosed in Henry, by using an icon representing the peripheral ("Any user on the network may install a device driver for a selected peripheral by selecting an icon representing that peripheral," pg 3 lines 1-9 in APA) so that selection of the desired device to install and locating its device driver can be easily accomplished. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art of computer software development and distribution to modify Henry's disclosed system to use an icon disclosed in APA for initiating installation of the peripheral by simply clicking the icon representing the peripheral. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use an icon so that installation of network peripheral can be done easily without requiring a user to search the desired peripheral and the location of its device driver.

Per claim 12:

The rejection of claim 11 is incorporated, further, see the rejection of claim 6 above.

Per claim 19:

The rejection of claim 18 is incorporated, further, see the rejection of claim 6 above.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Insun Kang whose telephone number is 703-305-6465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

Art Unit: 2124

Page 12

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on 703-305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IK 2/12.04

> KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100